Demographic Trends and Facility Planning Committee | MINUTES

Mosting data Lt	im a 4/21/2019	1 1.20 pm Magting Logation District Office: Boom 1220		
meeting date 1	IME 0/21/2018	4:30pm Meeting location District Office: Room 123C		
Meeting called by	Phil	Committee Attendees:		
		□ Lori Bica □ Charles Vue ⊠ Mark Goings ⊠ Philip Lyons		
Time called	4:34pm Marisa Anton	⊠ David Fitzgerald ⊠ Caro Johnson ⊠ Tim Nordin ⊠ Ryan Weichelt		
Note taker		$oxtimes$ Janet Seymour \Box Anne Hartman $oxtimes$ Alicia Arnold \Box Josh Clements		
Note taker		⊠ Marquita Davis ⊠ Nic Ashman □ Heather Nicolet □ Amanda Guo		
Guest Attendees:		Resource Attendees:		
		⊠ Abby Johnson □ Heidi White □ Jim Schmitt ⊠ Kim Koller		
		☐ Larry Sommerfeld ☐ Dr. Mary Ann Hardebeck		
AGENDA TOPICS				
Agenda topic: Public Comment Presenter Abby				
Discussion Conversation				
o No public present				
Agenda topic: Approval of April & May Minutes Presenter Abby				
Discussion Conversation				
 Caro moved to accept the minutes of April and May Seconded by Tim. Carried by unanimous voice of acclamation. 				

Agenda topic Update on Board Meetings and Board Members | Presenter Abby

Discussion Conversation

- o There has been discussion about not having Board members serving on the Demographic and Trend Committee.
 - o The Board President assigned members to committees in May of 2017, rather than having a particular board member assigned, they were encouraged to attend at their own discretion. There will be a standing meeting document and they will sign up to attend trying to have someone attend each meeting
 - Could we recommend that we need a board member on the committee consistency would be nice?
 - TIM MOVED TO RECOMMEND EXPRESS CONCERN FOR NOT HAVING A REGULAR BOARD MEMBER – WE WELCOME A BOARD MEMBERS PARTICIPATION AND WOULD

ENCOURGE THE BOARD TO ATTEND – WOULD LIKE ABBY TO TALK TO DR. H TO WORK WITH BOARD TO GET A BOARD MEMBER ON THE COMMITTEE

- SECONDED BY CARO. Carried by unanimous voice of acclamation.
- o In May Demo did two presentations to the Board
 - o 1 was our general recommendations
 - o 2 was the history of the Roosevelt decision and a time line
 - We were interested in rescinding the recommendation to renovate Roosevelt
 - Would like to take different options
 - Board asked us to help with what's next
 - Dr. H. asked Abby to share a conversation that we had with Ben Dallman Roosevelt Principal he has been getting questions.
 - Demo & Trends makes recommendations and the Board makes decisions
 - We have been getting YourVoice comments about Demo recommendations
 - It is hard to respond back to concerned citizens because they are submitted anonymously
 - Does Abby/Kim feel that she has enough from the committee to form a statement to be able to answer public comments?
 - Demo sees that there are district wide needs and the population growth on the southside is a concerning trend.
 - Roosevelt recommendation was for building and maintenance needs originally
 - Demo recommendation to rescind is for the greater needs of the District
 - Did present to Board
 - North side redraw of elementary boundaries
 - Over capacity on south side
 - Needs for Prairie Ridge
 - We told the Board it was a building and capacity issue
 - We need to look at the bigger picture of the District
 - o Whatever happens to Roosevelt is in the context of what's best for the District
 - We are one District

Agenda topic Dual Immersion Update | Presenter Abby

Discussion Conversation

- Dual immersion plays in because Longfellow numbers need to grow and dual could impact that and could affect how/if we redraw the lines
- o It is very unknown and in the work group we decided it would be best to take it out of the mix for the purposed of our discussions

Agenda topic Work Group Update | Presenter Phil

Discussion Conversation

- Relief plan helps relief for everywhere in the north
 - o Really helps Locust Lane and Longfellow to be above 65%
- o If we don't include the 3rd ward nothing happens to Lakeshore
- Cons for relief include
 - o Still needing remodel of Roosevelt (\$1.5M at a minimum)
 - o Common space is very small
 - It isn't an option for schools with small common space to host concerts for all students – issue across the District

- Losing community/peers value of the neighborhood school
- o People don't like change
- Absorb Pros
 - Transportation is cost neutral
 - Moves all others above 65%
 - o Provides funds for influx of growth across the district
 - Operational cost savings
 - o District resources are better utilized
 - Student Services access
 - Teachers are always there, but when you have a 2 section school vast majority of staff travels
 - Counselor is there 3 days
 - Less Special Education teachers
 - Specials
 - Nurses
 - Gifted and talented
 - o Opens building for other innovation spaces/Prairie Ridge
 - o Can make 3 schools into 4 sections to help across the district
- o Absorb Cons
 - Losing neighborhood school
 - o Transporting kids that could walk
 - o Closing a school is emotional
 - Losing peers/community
 - o Have to service/pay for building if we keep it
 - o Northside becomes full for long term growth
 - o People don't like change!
 - Still costs to having a building
 - Need to keep systems up to date
 - o Relief is 70%
 - o Absorb 83-87% fuller sooner will need to revisit sooner
- o Larry thinks we can add onto 2 south side schools to meet the needs with the dollars
- Does the District want to do a communication campaign?
 - o T-shirt campaign buttons we are one District
 - o We need to break through the barrier of north and south
 - We are one District
 - North/Memorial change has helped some ideas of the District
 - We have been talking about change for a while
- o 2 ideas
 - o 2 packages
 - o 1st
- 3rd ward helps Longfellow
- o 2nd
- Leave 3rd alone
 - Children have been moved before
- o 3rd ward pros
 - Helps Longfellow
 - Transportation neutral
 - Provides relief to Lakeshore (95%)
- o 3rd ward cons
- o 2nd move to different school since 2000
- Limited space for innovation

- Lowers SES for Lakeshore
 - Pretty confident that no current students are in there now
 - Eau Claire History
- We haven't talked to principals yet
 - Hoping to solidify some options then move forward if the group wants to move on with that
- o Culturally how will this affect them?
 - This will bring together 2 very different types of groups
 - This is something that other schools are doing now
 - Has had children at Lakeshore and Longfellow and hasn't seen a difference in the culture in both
 - The sense of community will defiantly change
- o What recommendation does the group want to send off to the Board?
 - o How far do we go?

Agenda topic North Side Boundary Options | Presenter Abby

Discussion Conversation

- o We would want to reference our guiding principles if making a recommendation
 - o SES
 - Access programming
 - o Absorb and move 3rd ward helps programming for students
 - 3rd ward may have to move at some point down the road if not now
 - o This is about moving all of the boundaries not just moving one section
 - o Taking away from one side and adding to another side could be hard
 - Making seats where they are needed
 - There will be disruption
 - o It is for the right reasons
 - o Doing it all at one time will help with the shock and overwork
 - These hit most of the guiding principles
 - We need to provide what is best or the District not what is best for one area
 - o This best address the issues we are tasked to address
 - Without putting a lot of money into Roosevelt it cannot run as an efficient 3 section school
 - If you look at financials and principles it makes the best decision for the District
 - We can do many more things that will provide relief everywhere else
 - Responsible recommendation
- o Referendum is in 20-21 and building is 22-23 could be 5 years from now
- This is not about a group of people it is about serving our students and the students moving forward
- o If immersion came in, we could move the boundaries?
 - Could make the F&R% higher and half of the kids would come from north schools already
- o Spanish immersion won't start until earliest 19-20 and would only need 1 or 2 classrooms
- o It's a huge decision and it feels bad
- Kids are very adaptable

Page 4

- CARO MOTIONED TO ABSORB ROOSEVELT AND REDISTRICT LAKESHORE, LOCUST LANE, LONGFELLOW, NORTHWOODS, SAM DAVEY, AND SHERMAN ELEMETNARY SCHOOLS TO ADDRESS DISTRICT GUIDING PRINCIPLES NUMBERS....1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7,8,11.
- SECONDED BY RYAN
- DISCUSSION
- o This addresses what we need to
- o Tim call question UNANIMOUSLY PASSED
- What about middle schools
 - Not a big impact
- o Will bring to the board
- o Will most likely have listening sessions
- o This would most likely happen in 20-21
- o Marisa, Abby, and Kim will put the final map together and email to the group

Action items	Person responsible	Deadline
Create Final May Boundary Picture	MAK	August

Agenda topic Agenda for Next/Summer Meetings | Presenter Phil

Discussion Conversation

- No July meeting
- o Do we start back up for August or September?
 - o Thinking start in September
 - Marisa will send calendar invites

Agenda topic Adjourn | Presenter Phil

Conclusion Closing

- Caro moved to adjourn the meeting
- o Seconded by Tim. Carried by unanimous voice of acclamation.

Next Meeting September 20, 2018 | 4:30p-6:00m | Meeting location District Office-Rm 123C

Special notes: